STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

**Base Year Modification Request Certification** 

Part 1: Generation Study - No Extrapolation Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance 1001 I Street, (MS-25) PO Box 4025 Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

General	Inetri	iction	e.
vencia		I CLIUII:	а.

Please select the <b>ONE</b> choice below that best explains your request to the Board.
☑ 1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting year
generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.
2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our
existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.

The shaded cells on these sheets are protected. If you have problems using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative by calling (916) 341-6199.

Section I: Jurisdiction Informat All respondents must complete this section	on.			
I certify under penalty of perjury that knowledge, and that I am authorized				ect to the best of my
Jurisdiction Name		County		
Redwood City		San Mate	o	
Authorized Signature		Title		
Type/Print Name of Person Signing		Date	Р	hone ( ) include Area Code
Brian Ponty			(6	550)780-7072
Person Completing This Form (please print or	type)	Title		
Nanette Sartoris				***
Affiliation: Consultant				W *
Mailing Address		City	State	ZIP Code
225 Bush Street, Suite 1700	San Francisc	0	CA	94104-4207
E-Mail Address <u>nsartoris@esass</u>	oc.com			

Rasor					
Dasci	d Study	y for Existing or New Base Year			
		•	ber (e.g	.,"4").	
	urisdict				
:		2. Proposed new generation-based stu	ıdy year:		
		2000			
year i	s repre	I sentative of average annual jurisdiction	disposa	ıl and d	liversion:
e enur	nerate	d here is representative of the average	annual ji	ırisdicti	ion
			-	-	
mplem	ented :	all of the programs selected in its SRRE			
		_			
44	%	new generation-based study	b.	47	%
		For new generation based study		16.5	
		pounds/person/day based on			
13.7	7	generation			
68					<u>%</u>
y 73	,600	Population new generation-based s	tudy		78,000
		ith your current diversion implementation	on efforts	and p	rovide any
<u>ıraphic</u>	cs).				
			iate all c	IVATEIN	
		an increase in the per capita generatio	n rate fro	om 15.7	7 to 16.7
ration	calcula	ited using the existing base year to that	n rate fro calculat	om 15.7 ed as p	7 to 16.7 part of this
ration tonna	calcula age rep	ited using the existing base year to that orted for Redwood City is based on act	n rate fro calculat tual dispo	om 15.7 ed as p osal an	7 to 16.7 part of this d
ration tonna capita	calcula age rep genera	nted using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the	n rate fro calculat tual dispo ne large i	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities
ration n tonna capita ( stem A	calcula age rep genera authority	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that trea	n rate fro calculat tual dispo ne large i	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities
ration n tonna capita stem A o shred	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.	n rate fro calculat tual dispo ne large i its waste	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
ration tonna capita stem A shred rsion r	calcula age rep genera authority Iding fa rates in	ated using the existing base year to that corted for Redwood City is based on act tion rates can be explained in part by the y Wastewater Treatment Plant that treat cility, among others.  4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage	n rate from the control of the contr	om 15.7 ed as p osal and industri water fi	7 to 16.7 part of this d al facilities rom nine
	year i e enur lisposator (an indfilled mplem drams  44  13.: 68  y 73 e expla e propose consi graphice resport proces	year is representation of a jurisdiction of a jurisdiction of the proposed newsproposed newsprop	2. Proposed new generation-based study  year is representative of average annual jurisdiction are enumerated here is representative of the average disposal in 2000 is consistent with the flourishing ecotor (an auto shredder facility) going to an out-of-count and filled rather than being used as ADC.  Implemented all of the programs selected in its SRRE grams targeting the residential, commercial and industrial and industrial pounds/person/day based on generation  Besidential Non-Reside generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation 16% generation are generation-based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation-based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation-based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation law generation-based study pounds/person/day based on generation law generation-based study generation law generation-based study generation law generation law generation-based study generation law generation-based study generation law generation law generation-based study generation law gener	2. Proposed new generation-based study year:  2000  year is representative of average annual jurisdiction disposation and proposed in 2000 is consistent with the flourishing economy in tor (an auto shredder facility) going to an out-of-county landfilled rather than being used as ADC.  Implemented all of the programs selected in its SRRE, or suit grams targeting the residential, commercial and industrial was a pounds/person/day based on generation.  Diversion rate calculated using new generation-based study pounds/person/day based on generation.  Residential Non-Residential generation generation based study explain how the new diversion rate is consistent with your expressed new generation tonnage results in an increase in year opposed	2. Proposed new generation-based study year:  2000  20

7. Disposal Te	7. Disposal Tonnage (enter values):	24002	101127	
		Residential	Non-Residential	Total
Please select	Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and compl	your disposal data	and complete the required tables.	
⊡ a.Allt	ons claimed are from the Board's Disposa	I Reporting System	a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (No explanation required. Go to Section 8.)	
□ b.Allt	ons claimed are from a 100 percent audit	of hauler and self-h	aul tonnage. (Please complete Reporting Year	b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Request and Modification Certification sheet found at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Forms/rythmdrq.doc)
C. Som	ne Disposal Reporting System data were o	corrected. (Please c	complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification F	c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification Request and Certification sheet found at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Forms/rytnmdrq.doc)

8, in the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your daim and are available for Board audit. Note: The Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested. Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes, agricultural wastes, inert solids [e.g., concrete, asphalt, dirt,] white goods, and scrap metal, please identify those programs/waste types and fill out Section 10. Please mark as Attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

\*Please provide detailed Non-Residential waste information in Section 9.

Diversion Activity Activity Relative Relative Percent to Spatific Manual Trade Convention	Actual tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation		Special Control of the Control of th	Type of Record and Location of Record
Please use the Board's program types. The program type diosasty is online at: www.dwmb.ca.dov/LGCentra/PerfetCodes/Reduce.htm	3	A STATE OF THE STA			
Bedvad Composition	626	***************************************	Organic Matter	848 Ibs/bin/year; San Mateo County Compositing Program. The County program distributed 2,201 bins to Redwood City. The County surveyed bin owners and found 88% of the bins were in use.	Bin Distribution Record; San Mateo County Composting Coordinator
Grasscycling		7,00			
Diaper Services	11.4	800	Textiles (Diapers)	Baby's Diaper Services; tons reported distributed throughout County on basis of population	ESA 1997 Diversion Survey; ESA Database
Enter program name		960'0			
Enter program name		%0'0			
Enter program name					
Enter program name		&0.03 4			
Suttotal, Residential Source Reduction of the state of th	637	%C.0			
Residential Recycling Activities					
Curbide Recycling	6110	789.7		generaten men var kan	Reports fo
	0110	Bro.7			Mountain LF
Drop-off Centers					

Reduction South	Enter program name	Enter program name	Redwood City schools - furniture salvage	business - double-sided copying	Redwood City schools and North Star	Grasscycling - Redwood City Elementary Schools	Other Non-Residental Source Reduction (list sac	Non-Residential Waste Audits	Non-Residenta Source Reduction	Subtotal Residental Diversion	Subcolai. Residentias Composition	Enter program name	Enter program name	Enter program name	Emer program name	Enter program name	Other Residential Composting	Christmas Tree Program	Curts lice Green Waste	Green Waste Drop-off	Residential Composting Activities	Subtotal, Residential Recycling	Enter program name	Enter program name	chier program name	Enter program name	Other Residential Recycling (Isseech program separately)	des/Reduce.htm		Please use the Board's progra	Diversion Activity
			Jre	<b>a</b>	nth Star		rce Reduction	its.	luction	3	Š						ing (listeach		NEW PROPERTY.			ā					g (list each p				7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
251			21		26.4	5.4	77/1/200	A23.		13481	6726				Va		(list each program separately)	Apple 1	5,681.0	1,045.0		6118					ogram separ		8		Actual Ions
0.32 Million			0.0%	0.00	LINE CO.		program separately)	01%		5.7%	2.8%		1000				erately)		24%	0.4%		2.8%			I I I					<b>Š</b>	Relative Pericent to Total Generation
			Books, computers, desks		Office paper	Grass clippings		See Section 9											Plant material, includes Christmas trees	Plant material and wood											Specific Material Typolog (List operation with high meta-laid
			Computers: 26 lbs/computer; books: 1.08 to 1.48 lbs/book depending on whether hardback or paperback books; desks: 50 lbs/desk	each school and the amount of double-sided coping occurring at each individual school.	5 lbs/ream, actual number of paper reams purchased at	250 lbs/1,000 sq. ft./year		Sae Section 9											Actual weights	Assumes 15% of self-hauled green waste reported by BFI is residential.											Specific Conversion Factor Leed (if any) and Source
			ESA 2000 Diversion Study; ESA database; Sequoia High School District; staff from 3 elementary schools	High School District; staff from 8 elementary schools;	ESA 2000 Diversion Study: ESA database: Securia	ESA 2000 Diversion Study; ESA database; RC		See Section 9											BFI MIS Reports for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF	BFI MIS Reports for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF											Type of Record and Lecation of Record

	Actual tons	Relative Parcent to Total Conscration	Spacific Baserial Type(a) (List operation winnitiple material	Specific Conventation Februari (1996) (Figure 1996)	Ayes of Recording to the second secon
Please use the Bland's program block. The program type glosseny is owner at					
www.cwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/Co					
Nor-Pendental Waste Andres	1553	0.7%	Section 9	See Section 9	Sec Section 9
Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately)	esch program	n separately)			
Commercial Recycling - BFI Franchised Collection	4,184.0	170 %	is, and plant material from ulti-family complexes		BFI MIS Report for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF
Commercial Recycling (includes backhauled materials and recycling by non-BFI commercial recyclers only includes those generators not included in Section 9 Waste Audits)	425.3		OCC, plastic wrap, MP, Glass, and Bottles & Cans	Actual weights	ESA 2000 Diversion Study; ESA Database
Transfer Station Recovery at San Carlos TS	9,608.0	A Company of the Comp	Material diverted in the transfer station sorter program and aggregate recycling program at the San h Cairos Transfer Station.	Actual tons allocated to cities based on the monthly self- haul tonnage received from applicable jurisdictions; diverted material received from city vehicles is allocated directly to the appropriate jurisdiction.	BFI MIS Reports for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF
Recycled/Salvaged Material at Santa Clara County Facilities Enter program name	3,888.6	17%	Wood, gypsum, tile, shingle roofing, white goods, POCC, etc.		Quarterly landfill report, Z acility and Zanker Road
Substant Non-Real Parties and Substant					
Non-Residential Compositing					
Idential Waste	898	0.4%	See Section 9	See Section 9	Section 9 III See Section 9 III III See Section 9
	steach progr	Compositing (list each program separafeh)			
Green waste drop-off	5,921.0	2.5%	Plant material and wood E	35% of self-hauled green waste reported by residential.	BFI MIS Reports for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
	8818	2.9%			
ersien.	6Z89Z	***			
		170			
			Auto shredder fluff (12,517.63 tons at Atlamont LF and 14,221.58 tons at Kirby Canyon LF); greenwaste transport (1.79 tons), C&D Debris (198.07 tons).	CIWMB data – excludes Ox Mountain LF (53,311,22 tons) and Vasco Road LF fors (902.61 tons). Vasco Road LF studge tons have been modified and are shown below under "Sludge Used as ADC." Ox Mountain LF tons are reported under other collection/recovery programs conducted by the City's franchissed hauler prior to use as ADC; see tons under residential and non-residential green waste drop-off and residential and non-residential green waste drop-off and residential curboid green waste programs shown above; see also tons under Transfer Station Recovery at San Carlos TS and	CIWMB Disposal Reporting System Database
	26939			Landfill Recovery at Ox Mountain LF programs shown below.	

Diversion Rate	Total Generation Tons (Dividual)	Total Disposal Tons from Sec.1.	Total Oliversion (Folia)	Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction	Subtotal Residential  Non-Residential Diversion	Tanding Savage	Scrap Metal Construction and Demoiltion	On the second se	des/Reduce.intm	Please use the Board's program types. The program type dissant is online at
47%	234756	125129		∰ 1715 T	<b>89317</b>	33,156.0		9222		
		53.3% (VIII)		0.4%	29.5%	14.1%	hhilling iner-			Relative Persent to Total Camprados Marotas
						C&D debris, auto shredder material, and concrete and asphalt		Sludge from the South Bayside System Authority Wastewater Treatment Plant in Redwood City		Specific Material Types() (List operation wines tipps inational in one body
						Actual weights		Supercedes 602.61 tons listed on CIWMB site for Vasco Road LF; signed letter from landfill operator shows that tons were originally missillocated to San Francisco when reported to the CIWMB. See Attachment A. A sludge petition was submitted for 2000. Sludge is addressed in the agenda item and resolution.		Spatistic Communication Earlier Land Of any and Source
						BFI MIS Reports for 2000; San Carlos TS & Ox Mountain LF		sted on CIWMB site for Vasco Landfill weight records; Vasco Road LF m landfill operator shows that ocatted to San Francisco when see Attachment A. A sludge 2000. Sludge is addressed in ution.		Type of Record and Legislation of Personnel Community of Records and Co

## 9. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits--Top 10 Non-Residential Generators

Please complete this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets. (Table will perform all addition calculations)

Type of Non-Residential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specificifiation Diversion Activities include Material Type (e.g., paper recycling, gresscycling). (Lat activities on one line)	Seurce Reduction Tons	Recycling	Compositing	Total Diversion Tons	Percent of Total Survey Method Generation (Total Phone (P) Diversion sell (M) Tonse/Total On-ette (O)	Survey Method Phone (P) Mall (M) On-site (O)
							Section 8)	<u> </u>
Supermarket	1	Cardboard and plastic/shrink wrap recycling and organics composting	-	152	299	751.23	0.3%	<b>a</b>
Supermarket	2	Cardboard and plastic/shrink wrap recycling and organics composting		162	299	461.17	0.2%	<b>a</b> .
Department Store	4	Cardboard collected by non-BFI recycler for recycling		370		370	0.2%	<u>.</u>
Department Store	ર	Cardboard and plastic/shrink wrap recycling		315		314.86	0.1%	<b>G.</b>
Supermarket	m	Cardboard and plastic/shrink wrap recycling		284		284.23	0.1%	<u> </u>
Business Tower	9	Glass collected by non-BFI recycler for recycling		197		196.6	0.1%	<b>a</b>
Golf Course	2	Grasscycling	163			691	0.1%	<b>a</b>
Business Tower	8	Glass collected by non-BFI recycler for recycling		112		112.3	%0°0	<b>a</b> .
Department Store	6	Cardboard recycling.		09		60.3	%0°0	<b>C.</b>
High school	10	Grasscycling	22			9 (2)	%0°0	d
	101		217.5	1652.51	898.18	2788.19	1.2%	

diversion activity and material type and associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type, and applicable conversion factors/sources. Include copies of survey Also provide an attachment 9 which includes all of the generators surveyed. Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific business name) form(s) used.

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities for the top 10 generators quantification methodology, and applicable conversion factors and sources (e.g., cardboard recycling: quantified by monthly tonnage receipts provided by the contact person at the business).

bales/day and 6 days/week and 534 Lbs/ cubic yard and 1.11 yards/ bale, equals 124.80 tons. Business 9: Ten 5 \* 5 \* 5 stacks per week and 232 lbs/stack equals 60.3 124.80 tons. Business 3: Management annual estimate of 370 tons. Business 4: 4.5 bales/day and 5 days/week and 537.8 lbs/bale equals 314.6 tons. Business 5: 2.5 Cardboard, Business 1: 6 bales/week at 52 weeks/year and 800 lbs/bale equals 124.80 tons. Business 2: 6 bales/week at 52 weeks/year and 800 lbs/bale equals

Plastic shrink wrap, Business 1: 22.55 Lbs per cubic yard and 0.16 yards per 33 gallon bag and 15 bags/day times 6days/week, equals 8.62 tons. Business 2: 22.55 Lbs per cubic yard and 0.27 yards per 55 gallon bag and 11 bags at 3 times a week, equals 5.27 tons. Business 3: One half a 30 gallon bag/day and 4 lbs/30 gallon bag and 5 days/week equals 0.26 tons. Business 5: 22.55 Lbs per cubic yard and 0.16 yards per 33 gallon bag and 4.5 bagsday and 6 days/ week, equals 2.57 tons.

Organics, Business 1: 1,443 Lbs per cubic yard and 1.33 yards per gaylord box and 2 boxes/day times 6 days/week, equals 598.79 tons. Business 2: 1,443 Lbs per cubic yard and 1.33 yards per gaylord box and 2 boxes/day times 6 days/week, we calculate 299.39 tons. Glass, Business 6: 3.5 cubic yards/week and 2,160 lbs/yard equals 196.6 tons. Business 8: 2.0 cubic yards/week and 2,160 lbs/yard equals 112.3 tons.

Grasscycling, Business 7: 30 acres at 43,580 sq ft./acre and 250 lbs/1,000 sq ft equals 163 tons. Business 10: 10 acres at 43,580 sq ft./acre and 250 lbs/1,000 sq ft equals 55 tons.

- 10. For each restricted waste type (i.e., agricultural waste, inert solids, [e.g. concreter, asphalt, dirt, etc.] scrap metals and white goods [PRC section 41781.2]) and associated program, please provide the following information:
- a. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table.

**Note**: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type (e.g., "Diversion conducted by city public waste dept.".

Restricted Waste Ty	pe	Specific Program Name	Year Started	Tonnage
Inert Solids	•	Transfer Station Diversion (also includes scrap metal)	Oct-99	9,608
Inert Solids	▼	Ox Mountain Diversion (also includes scrap metal)	Oct-99	33,156
Inert Solids	~	Zanker Landfill ADC	2000	18
Inert Solids	_	Newby Island Landfill ADC	1998	160
Inert Solids	-	Kirby Canyon	2000	6
Pull Down for Waste Types	•			

- **b.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990 and if documentation on the program and waste type has not been approved by the Board on a separate sheet marked "Attachment 10b", provide the documentation that indicates:
- How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [1]).
- That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. ( **Note**: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [2]). Please include documentation.
- That the jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its source reduction and recycling element.

Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has already been approved by the Board, you do not have to	0
provide an attachment 10b for that waste type and program.	
Instead please provide date of Board approval of previously submitted information.	(Date)

If documentation is not available, go to 10d.

c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (but

not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Ty	pe .	Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Diversion Tonnage
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b>-</b>		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	₩		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		

**d.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. **Note**: Only the difference between the new base year/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.

Restricted Waste Ty	oe .	Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Tonnage	1990 Diversion Tonnage	Difference
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼ _				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types					

Category   Cocc   Wirep	Curer Noil-Aestremust Commercial Recycling Mentilled III	Recycling Mentine	d In Kedwood	Redwood City's 2000 Annual Report	Report							ē	rev. 10/30/01		
Plastic   Plas					Š	al Type									
1,12,0			Plastic					Mixed	Total		1997	2001	Comm		
17-24   21-2										-					
124.2 344.4   622 34.4   620 2.00   124.0 346.4   620 34.4   620 2.00   124.0 346.4   620 34.4   620 2.00   124.0 346.4   620 34.4   620 2.00   124.0 346.4   620 34.4   620 3	Category	၁၁၀	Wrap	Organics	Tallow	₽	Glass	Recyclables	tons	dackhaule	Study	- 12	Outread		
11/24   344   86.5   45.0   45.0   45.1   45.1   45.0   45.1			- 1	- 1				.							
2   12,10   14,10   1,1		124.8 218.4		- 1	- 1			_	l.	×	- 1	×		Audit Reference #1	
15.00   24.0		2 124.80 109.2	5.27 4.8	299.39 624.8				4		×		×		Audit Reference #2	
34.00   34.00		8						A delay described and a delay	41.00	×	Maria Landa		K		ない。
Subficiency		4	Table Bulletine Burlet		Deline dell'indicate dell'indi					Recycle F		×			
10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 2000   10112 200   10112		8.3								*	-	*		The County of the Louis Hope County or Application	
Subtotal:   Subt				Albamina di Shina adaphina diintala					439.17						
Subtract   Co.2.17   886.3   16.46 4.044   898.18 4.264-2   101.12 0.00								ALLES STEELS	:	×		×			
Subtractive	Subtotal	ŝ	į.	898.18	8		•	•	53 2188						
Subtotal: 744.56 0.28 745.16   File properties   745.16   File proper	Department Stores/Retailers														
Subtotal:   744.50   0.28     745.16     7				111 Self 6						- 4			All book and a transact	As and the attendance with the William Williams	
3.00   2.00															
Subtotal: 714.90 0.26 745.16   6.50 Enterprises   2.24.0   112.30   1		7													
11   170	Subtotal	744	0.28			ŀ	•	•	745.10						
170   170	Other Businesses					-									
Subtotal:		0.7							13156 2016 2016 2016 2016		\\				
34.10 Subtotal:  Subto		2	_		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	dos	and the second second	THE SHARE WEST AND	N SECTION SECTION	Enterprise			888E		
Subtotal: 2.20 33.00 308.90 344.10 Audit Reference #8		3	[				196.60			Dotsons			×	9#	
Subtotal: 2.20 - 33.00 308.90 - 344.10   344.10   38.00 308.90 - 344.10   38.00 308.90 - 344.10   38.00 308.90 - 344.10   38.0	•						112.30			Dotsons			×	Audit Reference #8	
Subtotal:		2	•	•		33.00	308.90	•	344.10	)					
Subtotal:	Schools/Institutions														
Subfolar:								8. Juli		M. M.		312.70			2000
Accyclars  d Crace Subtotal:  Subtotal:  1349.87 1,633-16.72 11.07 898.18 1,291.2 101.12 0.00 297.00 308.90 4.20 2,975.99 3,545.77 Abert 1 2,755.99 3,545.77	Subtotal						1901 C	4.20	A. 7		ery e	er de mer	Services	Care of the care o	Maritania Maritania
Olected from 3 small printer co.  Subtotal:  Subtotal:  1349.87 1,633 16.72 11.07 898.18 1,291.2 101.12 0.00 297.00 308.90 4.20 2,975.99 3,545.77 Abert 2 2,975.99 3,545.77	Other Recyclers														
Subtotal:  - 264.00  Subtotal: - 264.00  - 264	(Collected from 3 small winter on					264.00			5.45Z	Weyerhau	8	×	S D A D S D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		
Subfotal: 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 284.00 - 287.00 - 28	and Oracle)														
1349.87 1,633. 16.72 11.07 898.18 1,291.2 101.12 0.00 297.00 308.90 4.20 2,975.99	Subfotal		1	1		264.00	-	-	264.00						
7 Abarl: 2 975 99	TOTAL			898.18		297 00	308 90	4 20 2			+	+			
		ı	1		1		2000	chook:	ı				-		

			Tons by Material Type								
		Double-									
		sided	Furniture Salvage			Вох	Total	1997	2001 Comm's		
Category	Grasscycle	copying	Computers	Books	Desks	Reuse	tons	Study	-	Notes	
Golf Course											
Emerald Hills Golf Course	163.00						163.00		×	Audit Reference #8	
Subtotal:	163.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		163.00		-  :		
						į					
Seguida HS, Redwood HS											
					- 57 - 15 - 7		6		i.	Donated	
	Ę						2.50		aure out A	Donated	
					2 42	A SAME TO SAME THE SAME TO SAME THE SAME TO SAME THE SAME	Pord Contraction	Her.	×	Donated	
Sequips and Redwood HSs				12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15					100	<b>发生或形</b>	
TO WOOD TENIEN SCHOOL	38						77.00	₩-	`	Code Veletience #10	
Roy Coud Elementary School					10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1				( ) 		
Received the member School						ALCOHOLD STATE OF		-			
Henry Ford Elementary School							The second second	,	<b>*                                    </b>		1
Clifford Elementary School	i Vij			- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10			1,20		*		
Zo So						To the second se	1000				
Subtotal:	59.90	26.40	3.76	0.34	0.10		90.50				
TOTAL	333 95	26 40	3.76	2	2	200					-
				6.0	chock:	353 50	200:00				